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Whoever is testing, expects their measurements to be precise and accurate. Thus, the value that is given by the measurement device must be consistent and close to the actual value. To ensure the accuracy and precision of a measurement device, it must be calibrated regularly. Without getting into the formal definitions and subtle differences between "balancing", "calibration" and "adjustment", the following can be distinguished:

**Calibration: Concepts and classifications**

**Offset: Tara vs. Bridge balancing**

In contrast to the tare balance, which is used in the "simple" mode voltage, the bridge balance can compensate for the initial values which are greater than the selected nominal measurement range itself:

For bridge circuit leads, (opposite) deviations of the individual elements are caused: \( \pm 0.1\% \text{ to } 1 \text{ mV/V bridge offset} \). During quarter-bridge completions, the appropriate precision for conventional strain gauges is generally reached with up to 0.3% production tolerance and with 3 mV/V offset: easily a multiple of the selected measuring range!

Because Tara zeroing makes a pure rescaling, this leads to different and asymmetrical measurement ranges. When used in bridge balancing, the actual range of the amplifier is greater than the actual measurement range. Using analog or digital compensation, the useful range is then shifted symmetrically about the new "virtual" zero point.
**Tara zeroing**

- Formal rescaling, only
- Results in individually distinct and asymmetrical ranges (e.g., -11V ... +9V)

**Bridge balancing**

- Offset can be much larger than active measurement range!
- Compensation and offset shifting
- Results in uniform and symmetric ranges (e.g., +/- 2mV/V)

Modern digital concepts (such as imc systems) use high-resolution and stable 24-bit ADC, having enough headroom to digitize the entire offset signal, yet still providing sufficient resolution for the high-activity areas being used. Thus, the subtraction of the offset can be purely digital and realized absolutely drift-free.
**Digital bridge balancing**

- Not depending on ultra-stable analog summing node – but based on stable ADC!
- Requires ADC to acquire the entire analog input range
- Advanced concept, enabled and driven by modern ADC technology with 24 Bit

**Stability of zeroing/balancing**

The fact that the bridge offset can take extremely large values, partly by the way, perhaps unexpectedly, that the stability of offset compensation with increasing initial offset is also determined by the gain drift of the system. It is namely subjected to the compensated value! E.g.,:

Initial Offset to be compensated: 2 mV/V @5V according to 10 mV absolute
Gain drift: 10 ppm / °C
**Resulting equivalent offset drift:** 10 mV * 10 ppm / °C = 0.1 µV / °C

This is in the order of magnitude of a typical amplifier input stages as "direct" offset drift.

**Gain error due to cable resistance**

Cable resistances in the supply lines cause an attenuation of the sensor actually effective excitation: After a power divider principle the ratio of bridge impedance to the sum of the cable resistance is crucial. This "loss" can be compensated by additional sensor leads (SENSE).

E.g.,: The Thinnest wire customary in sensor instrumentation: Copper size 0.14mm² (equivalent AWG26)

- 0.14mm² == 130 Ω / m
- 10 m lead wire, feed and return lead → double
- Worst case: low impedance bridge (120 Ω full-bridge → European...)
- Typical: 2 x 1.3 Ω / 120 Ω ≈approx. 2%

10 m → 2 % Gain error
**Dynamic cable resistance from temperature drift**

Should the unique compensation of the static gain error be performed at the beginning of the measurement, i.e., after installation, or the must the correction be tracked during the measurement operation? For outdoor test drive applications, temperature differences of 60 °C could occur, for instance, when the outside temperature in the morning at the start of measurement is -10 °C and by midday the temperature could be upwards of 50 °C.

The temperature coefficient of copper over 60°C leads to a resistance drift of:

- **Cu**: 4000 ppm/°C ("TK4000")
- \( \Delta T = \text{assumed 60°C operating range} \)
- \( 4000 \text{ ppm} \times 60^\circ\text{C} = 24\% \)
- Thus, the initially matched gain error of 2% changes to:
  
  \[
  2\% \times 24\% = 0.48\% \quad \text{Gain drift} \quad \text{(10 m cable)}
  \]

  For even longer cables, it can be even more relevant:
  
  \[
  4.8\% \quad \text{Gain drift} \quad \text{(100 m cable)}
  \]

**Cable symmetry and simple sensor leads (SENSE)**

Since cables and wires are made from copper, they can be regarded almost always as a good "match"! Even for other cable types, significant local heating along the cable can still be considered “perfect.

Also contact resistances of connectors do not essentially disturb the symmetry: Typical values of a max. of 25 mΩ per contact lead, even when corroded, can be considered ideal:

Mismatching and gain errors:

\[
\frac{25 \text{ mΩ}}{120 \text{ Ω}} = 0.02\%
\]

Thus: \( \Rightarrow \text{one single SENSE lead is sufficient!} \)

The compensation takes place in imc systems in a digitally:

The "basic" cable loss is detected by means of an additional measurement path and ADC, and mathematically compensated by twice the amount - and continuously during operation!

The dynamic compensation also acquires the temperature-induced drift of cable resistances.

In addition, even the undamped supply is directly acquired at the amplifier terminals VB to optimally compensate for even the residual tolerance.
**Ratio-metric bridge measurements**

In this way a perfect ratio-metric measurement bridge is achieved. Ratio-metric means that the bridge sensor in terms of "mV / V → mV signal pro V supply voltage" always delivers a fraction of the supply voltage. A damped supply can thus be compensated for by a purely computational gain correction. An actual "physical" adjustment of the voltage is to not even necessary. This avoids additional sources of error or stability problems of analog control loops.

**Double SENSE**

So then are double-SENSE lines still necessary or even useful? Apart from rare asymmetrical cases of cable resistances (see above), in particular carrier frequency modes, the double-SENSE phase relationships are accurately reached.

**Dynamic disturbance with half-bridge configuration**

Advantageously, double-SENSE configurations can be used in more "exotic" cases where the likelihood of massive bad contacts or dynamic interference and couplings along the supply lines occur namely in half-bridge configuration. Why is this only for half-bridge configurations an issue?

When using a simple "single-SENSE", on the basis symmetry, the internal half-bridge completion is always connected to the internal +/- VB node.

Then unbalanced and dynamic disorders only affect the external (active) branch of the bridge, but not to the internal HB completion. While this is still corresponds to insignificantly small gain errors, this can lead to observable offset errors or signal jumps.

Because these effects are dynamic in nature, they cannot be suppressed by (somewhat slower) arithmetic compensation.

Double-SENSE allows for symmetric +/-SENSE signal feedback and drives internal HB completion - Perfect for dynamic (analog) noise cancellation!

**Dynamic disturbance with half-bridge configuration:**

![Diagram showing dynamic disturbance with half-bridge configuration](image)
**Conclusion: SENSE with imc bridge amplifiers**

- imc systems are generally equipped with SENSE line support
- Sense lead detects the actual effective supply voltage at the remote sensor
- Sense can be implemented with single or double wires:
  - Supply cables are symmetric: -> double Sense is usually not necessary
  - Economic single-SENSE is an important selling point for imc-modules
  - Double SENSE for CF and exotic cases of dynamic disturbances
  - The imc amplifier modules BR2-4 and UNI-4 offer both single and double SENSE: The software automatically detects the current wired configuration
- Arithmetic compensation of gain error - automatically in the background
- Dynamic adaptive compensation during active measurements even comprises thermal drift

**Quarter-bridge configuration**

In quarter-bridge configuration, both the passive half-bridge completion and the lower quarter-bridge completion are completed in the amplifier. The strain gauge as the actual fourth element is connected with two or three (longer and resistance-afflicted) lines.

Next, half of the completed “primitive” 2-wire quarter-bridge circuit is presented. This is not rally of practical importance since it has dramatic offset and drift problems, as shown in the following example:

**2-wire**

- Both cable resistances are associated with upper branch of the bridge
  - **Cable**-resistance e.g., 2 * 10 m, 130 mΩ / m = 2.6 Ω
  - **Gain**-error: 2.6 Ω / 120 Ω = 2%  ➔ moderate
  - Offset-error: ¼ * R_k/R_b  = ¼ * 2%
  - Offset-drift: with Cu-Drift 4000 ppm / °C* 5 mV/V  = 20 µV/V / °C  ➔ FATAL!!

➔ This literally presents a thermometer:
50°C “control” already crosses the 1 mV/V range!!
50 °C * 20 µV/V / °C = 1 mV/V C
In contrast, almost exclusively used 3-wire circuits avoid this offset error due to the symmetrical distribution of the two cable portions on upper and lower bridge arms:

**3-wire**
- wires equally distributed: upper/lower branch
- **Offset** and Thermal drift: \( \Rightarrow \text{compensated} \)
- **Gain**-error: e.g., \((10\, \text{m}) \frac{2.6 \, \Omega}{120 \, \Omega} = 2 \%\)  
\( \Rightarrow \text{moderate and “initially” uncompensated} \)

For "conventional" bridge amplifier or relevant competitors, where:

The remaining "moderate" gain error, determined by the ratio of cable resistance to bridge impedance, initially remains, when the “simple” "3-wire circuit is not taken into account, uncompensated!

It can typically be corrected by methods such as the "shunt calibration" and, in particular, on the internal quarter-bridge completion.

**imc 3-wire quarter-bridge completion (with gain compensation)**

While the offset stability of the 3-wire circuit are common property and state of the art, offer imc systems as an additional unique feature full gain correction for 3-wire circuit. **imc systems additionally offer a unique full gain correction for a 3-wire circuit.**

In addition, a separate auxiliary amplifier with ADC is used to acquire the voltage drop along the “average” return conductor. Since this represents half of the total power loss, it is doubled and used for the computational gain correction.
**Conclusion:**
Thus, this dynamic tracking compensation makes any shunt calibration at quarter-bridge unnecessary and therefore superior.

![imc 3-wire quarter-bridge circuit with dynamic gain correction](image)

**Shunt-calibration**
But if, as has been shown here, gain error through SENSE leads (Full- and Half-Bridge) and (especially with imc systems) and are also fully for the quarter-bridge dynamically acquired and compensated for, including external influences, then why is Shunt calibration necessary?!

In essence, the Shunt-calibration can provoke a change from, e.g., 0.5 mV/V, essentially to check the measurement chain qualitatively to ensure that no cable breaks or faulty wiring exists.

If one wanted to correct the device by checking the “internal factors”, that is, check or further improve the factory calibration of the amplifier modules (which, for example, are calibrated at imc to typ. 0.02%), one would need the high-impedance calibration resistors to be accurate, even with what is quickly lost due to leakage resistances in the GΩ. It is clear that this is not necessary or can even be counter-productive.

The case remains in applications with such sensor installations (e.g., from an economic standpoint) that by using SENSE-cables, even the cable resistance influences will be corrected. As shown below, even more serious effects of cable resistances can be observed at the measurement input. These effects actually require a separate supply for the shunt resistance – that does not want or can afford, but in this case is needed...an apparent dilemma, however a clever solution exists with a new feature by imc!
In what way cable resistances falsify the shunt calibration? In several ways:

The parallel connection of a shunt directly to the strain gauge provokes a real signal change that is **smaller** than is expected;

Because of the attenuation of the power at the remote sensor, this causes **cable resistance at +/- VB**.

Magnitude: about $\frac{R_{\text{cable}}}{R_{\text{shunt}}}$ (e.g., circa. 1% at 1.3 Ω / 120 Ω)

However, since the shunt is not locally connected at the remote sensor, but instead is connected internally in the amplifier, the shunt is parallel to the sum of the strain gauges and cables.

The ratio of "Bridge to shunt" is thus greater than nominal. Thus, the real jump is not small, but **larger**.

Another, more and opposite distortion however, is caused by the **cable resistance at the measuring input IN +**.

As an example, the 0.5 mV / V jump is achieved with 60 KΩ (analogous to the quarter-bridge jump = $\frac{1}{4} \times$ bridge/shunt)

While on the other hand, the ratio is cable / shunt 1.3 Ω to 60 KΩ, thus only 22 ppm. But this voltage divider acts with half-bridge voltage:

$\frac{\text{VB}}{2} \times 22 \text{ppm} = 11 \mu \text{V/V}$

So instead of the expected attenuation, a further enlargement of the expected: 0.5mV / V jump by about 2.2%

This could be avoided by a separate supply for the calibration resistor. However, in general, this additional line is not available!
**Cable compensation without SENSE – via shunt calibration**

Summing up these connections together in a mathematical model, which (rightly) assumes symmetrical cables, one can therefore (without additional lines!) calculate the cable resistance and thus the necessary gain correction from the distortion of calibration jump.

This function, among others, is a corresponding, automatic two-point calibration and is another exclusive and unique feature of imc amplifiers!
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